

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,909	07/21/2003	Eileen Tozer	D1410-2US	7087
29062 VERENIUM (	7590 08/13/2007 CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
4955 DIRECTORS PLACE			BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/624,909	TOZER ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Angela Bertagna	1637			

	Angela Bertagna	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing ving replies: (1) an am tice of Appeal (with ap	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba endment, affidavit, or other evider peal fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	ater than SIX MONTHS fr	om the mailing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspo shortened statutory period than three months after	nding amount of the fee. The appropri for reply originally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 May 2007</u>. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl</li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (3	37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
AMENDMENTS		<u> </u>	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or sea		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	•	materially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		ce of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ce of Non-Compliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		a separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered vided below or appendent     appenden	, or b) will be entered and an eled.	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>189</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>225</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,14,15,29,33,35,40,43-45,48,49,87,18</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>see note below</u> .	38,192,203-207,217,21	8 and 225-228.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t bafara ar an tha data	of films a Nation of Annual will m	nt ha autouad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons wh	ny the affidavit or other evidence i	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections	under appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the c	laims after entry is below or attack	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the	application in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	o(s)	
13.  Other:		1	
		/	

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. The proposed amendments will not be entered, because they raise issues that require further search and consideration and also do not place the application in better condition for allowance. The proposed amendments to claims 1 and 33 require further search and consideration, because they require consideration of identity to the entire sequence recited in SEQ ID NO: 29, where the previous claims only required consideration of identity to a portion of SEQ ID NO: 29. The proposed amendments to claim 29 also require further search and consideration, because the new hybridization conditions recited in part (a) have not been previously presented for consideration. Finally, the proposed amendments to claim 192 require further search and consideration, because they broaden the scope of the claim beyond what has been previously considered. Furthermore, the proposed amendments do not place the application in better condition for allowance, because they do not overcome all of the rejections of record. The proposed amendments would overcome the outstanding prior art rejections, but would not overcome the outstanding rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph (written description). Therefore, the proposed amendments have not been entered.

Continuation of 7. Claims withdrawn from consideration: 42, 51, 54, 56, 58, 106-107, 111, 113, 116, 138, 143, 174, 175, 177, 182, 184, 187, 190, 208, 215-216, 219-224, and 229-231.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are directed to the claims as amended. Since the amendements were not entered, these arguments are moot.